

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/780,804	02/09/2001	David L. Goodale	2048-039	8653
	7590 . 10/31/200 AL DEPARTMENT/A	EXAMINER		
BECKMAN CO	OULTER, INC.	HANDY, DWAYNE K		
BOX 3100	OR BOULEVARD		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
FULLERTON,	CA 92834-3100		1797	
				\
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/31/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/780,804	GOODALE ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Dwayne K. Handy	1797			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHO WHIC - Exter after: - If NO - Failui Anyr	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DAISIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUI's (6a). In no event, however, may ill apply and will expire SIX (6) M cause the application to become	NICATION. a reply be timely filed ONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 July 2006.					
· <u> </u>	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.					
	The state of the s					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims					
4)⊠)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,4-10 and 21-30</u> is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
	5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>5-10 and 25-30</u> is/are allowed.					
	Claim(s) <u>1,2,4,21,22,24</u> is/are rejected.					
	Claim(s) <u>23</u> is/are objected to.					
8)[_]	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
Applicati	on Papers					
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	•.				
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) 🔲	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attach	ed Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) 🗌 🔏	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C	. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	ity documents have bee	en received in this National Stage			
	application from the International Bureau	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
· * S	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies n	ot received.			
Attachment						
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		w Summary (PTO-413) lo(s)/Mail Date			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 09/780,804 Page 2

Art Unit: 1797

DETAILED ACTION

Inventorship

1. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Application/Control Number: 09/780,804

Art Unit: 1797

3. Claims 1, 2, 4, 21, 22 and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pottorff (6,148,710) in view of Straka et al. (5,993,090). Pottorff teaches a slitter and hole punch device. The device is best shown in Figures 1 and 2 and described in columns 3 and 4. The apparatus includes a slitter and punch unit (20) that has a hole punch element (24). The hole punch element has a jagged edge of cutting teeth (dol. 3, lines 40-41). The punch unit is inserted into an adapter (30) that is attached to the bottom end of shaft (16). The shaft is part of a reciprocating mechanism (15) for punching holes in plastic film. The Examiner considers the shaft (16) to be an alignment arm as required by applicant in the instant claims and (3) the adapter (30) to be an alignment block since it aligns the hole punch element (24) with the shaft (16) and channel (17). Pottorff does not teach a piercing blade capable of producing a Z-shaped cut.

Page 3

Straka teaches a marking punch used in a method of marking workpiece elements. The marking punch (22) is best shown in Figures 1, 3 and 4. The marking punch has the shape of letters or numbers in order to allow for the marking of workpieces (column 1, lines 19-27). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the alphanumeric shaped punching element from Straka with the punch of Pottorff. One would add the element from Straka to form alphanumeric shaped cuts. This would allow for the labeling of the punched piece by via the cutting element.

Response to Arguments

4. The rejection of claims 1, 2, 4, 21, 22 and 24 under USC 102 as being anticipated by Pottorff has been overcome by Applicant's Arguments. See Applicant's Response dated 5/10/06; Advisory Action dated 6/27/2006; and Interview Summary with Examiner Warden dated 7/20/06. A new rejection is provided under Pottorff in view of Straka.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 5. Claims 5-10 and 25-30 are allowed.
- 6. Claim 23 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dwayne K. Handy whose telephone number is (571)-272-1259. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:00-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jill Warden can be reached on (571)-272-1267. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1797

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

DKH October 29, 2007

> LYLE A. ALEXANDER PRIMARY EXAMINER